arXiv:1410.6900v1 [math.CO] 25 Oct 2014

Generalizations of an Expansion Formula for Top to Random Shuffles

Roger Tian

October 28, 2014

Abstract

In the top to random shuffle, the first a cards are removed from a deck of n cards $12\cdots n$ and then inserted back into the deck. This action can be studied by treating the top to random shuffle as an element B_a, which we define formally in Section 2, of the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$. For $a = 1$, Adriano Garsia in "On the Powers of Top to Random Shuffling" (2002) derived an expansion formula for B_1^k for $k \leq n$, though his proof for the formula was non-bijective. We prove, bijectively, an expansion formula for the arbitrary finite product $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$ where $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ are positive integers, from which an improved version of Garsia's aforementioned formula follows. We show some applications of this formula for $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$, which include enumeration and calculating probabilities. Then for an arbitrary group G we define the group of G-permutations $S_n^G := G \wr S_n$ and further generalize the aforementioned expansion formula to the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$ for the case of finite G, and we show how other similar expansion formulae in $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ can be generalized to $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **3**

3 Multiplication Formula for Arbitrary Finite Products **4**
3.1 A Bijection between Shuffles and Set Partitions 4
3.2 Calculating the Coefficients $|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$ 7
3.3 Applications 8

4 Generalizations to the Algebra of G-Permutations **9**
4.1 Description of G-permutations 9
4.2 Top to Random Shuffling Expansion Formula in $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$ 11
4.3 Other Generalizations 12

5 Further Discussions **13**

1 Introduction

Shuffling is a much studied topic in probability theory and combinatorics. One mode of shuffling is the *top to random shuffle*, where we remove the first a cards from a deck of n cards $12\cdots n$ and then insert them back into the deck.

The case $a = 1$ is known as the Tsetlin library, which is usually studied via the characterization of randomly removing a book from a row of n books and placing it at the right end of the row. The Tsetlin library has been much studied as a Markov chain, where the probability of removing each book is assumed to be known. We first briefly mention some work in the literature on this subject and its generalizations.

Hendricks [8] found the stationary distribution of the Tsetlin library Markov chain. Fill [5] derived a formula for the distribution of the Tsetlin library after any number of steps, as well as the eigenvalues of this Markov chain. [5] also contains a wealth of references on this subject. Diaconis, Fill, and Pitman [4] gave the the distribution of the top to random m-shuffle (where the first m cards are moved) after any number of steps. Bidigare, Hanlon, and Rockmore [2] generalized the Tsetlin library to the setting of hyperplane arrangements, and calculated the eigenvalues for this generalized Markov chain. Brown [3] treated random walks on a class of semigroups called "left regular bands", which includes the hyperplane chamber walks of Bidigare, Hanlon, and Rockmore. Uyemura Reyes [9] studied the random to random shuffle, where a card is removed at random from the deck and then reinserted into the deck at random. Ayyer, Klee, and Schilling [1] defined the extended promotion operator and studied promotion Markov chains for arbitrary finite posets, of which the Tsetlin library is the case when the poset is the antichain.

In a different development, the top to random shuffle has also been studied from the viewpoint of the elements B_a of the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$, which is the viewpoint we adopt in most of this paper; intuitively, B_a represents all possible outcomes of removing the first a cards of a deck and then reinserting these cards. Diaconis, Fill, and Pitman [4] derived (for $1 \leq k \leq n$), using probabilistic arguments, the expansion formula

$$B_1^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^k \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{a=i}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{a-i}}{(a-i)!} B_a, \tag{1}$$

which describes k iterations of the top to random 1-shuffles. Garsia [6] later derived (for $1 \leq k \leq n$), using standard combinatorial manipulations, the expansion formula

$$B_1^k = \sum_{a=1}^{k} S_{k,a} B_a, \tag{2}$$

from which (1) follows; $S_{k,a}$ is the number of a-part partitions of the set $[k]$. Garsia then used (1) to determine, among other things, the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of the image of B_1 under the left regular representation.

Among other uses of formula (2), one can calculate the number of ways to obtain a particular arrangement of the deck via k iterations of the top to random 1-shuffles, as well as the probability of obtaining such arrangement of the deck. For example, the number of ways to obtain the identity deck $12 \cdots n$ is the kth Bell number $b_k = \sum_{a=1}^{k} S_{k,a}$ by this formula, since each B_a contains exactly one copy of the identity deck. An expansion formula for $B_{a_1} B_{a_2} \cdots B_{a_k}$ would allow us to do even more calculations of this kind, where we shuffle the first a_1 cards of the deck, then the first a_2 cards of the resulting deck, and so on; see Example 13.

In Section 2, we introduce the notations and conventions used in this paper. In Section 3, we give a bijection which allows us to derive the expansion formula

$$B_{a_1} B_{a_2} \cdots B_{a_k} = \sum_{j=\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k)}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)} |Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}| B_j,$$

where the coefficients can be calculated by the formula

$$|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}| = \sum_{\substack{\sum_{c=2}^{k} l_c = j - a_1 \\ l_c \in [0, a_c]}} \left(\prod_{c=2}^{k} \binom{a_c}{l_c} P\left(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i, a_c - l_c \right) \right)$$

where $P(m,l) := \binom{m}{l} l!$. As we will see, one combinatorial interpretation of $|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$ is the number of ways to obtain the identity deck by shuffling the first a_1 cards of the deck, then the first a_2 cards of the resulting deck, and so on, such that the cards labeled $1, 2, \ldots, j$ are the only ones touched by our hands (removed from the deck and then reinserted) through this sequence of shuffles. In Section 4, for an arbitrary group G we introduce the group of G-permutations S_n^G as the wreath product $G \wr S_n$ and we define elements $\hat{B}_c$ of the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$ that describe the top to random shuffle of c cards where each card in the deck now has $|G|$ faces, each of which is labeled by an element of G. Then we derive the expansion formula

$$\hat{B}_{a_1} \hat{B}_{a_2} \cdots \hat{B}_{a_k} = \sum_{c=\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k)}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)} |Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}| |G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_k) - c} \hat{B}_c$$

and show how it can be used in calculations; see Example 19. Afterward, we show how the same method can be used to generalize other expansion formulae of $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ to $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss possible related future directions of research.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor Anne Schilling and my colleague Travis Scrimshaw for helping simplify my proof of Theorem 8. I would also like to thank Joel Lewis for pointing out that Corollary 18 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 17.

2 Preliminaries

We fix n throughout this paper, and we follow the notation of [6], which we describe briefly here. For words $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_l$ and $v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_m$, let $u \sqcup\!\sqcup v$ denote the sum of all words $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{l+m}$ with $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{l+m}\} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_l, v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ such that for $i < j$, $p < q$, $u_i = w_{i'}$, $u_j = w_{j'}$, $v_p = w_{p'}$, $v_q = w_{q'}$ we have $i' < j'$ and $p' < q'$; $u \sqcup\!\sqcup v$ is called the shuffle product of u and v. Recall from Garsia's paper that B_a is the element $B_a = 1 \sqcup\!\sqcup 2 \sqcup\!\sqcup 3 \sqcup\!\sqcup \cdots \sqcup\!\sqcup a \sqcup\!\sqcup W_{a,n} = \sum_{\alpha \in S_a} \alpha \sqcup\!\sqcup W_{a,n}$ of the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$, where $W_{a,n}$ is the word $W_{a,n} = (a+1)(a+2)\cdots n$. The motivation is that we have a deck of cards labeled $1, 2, \ldots, n$, and B_a represents all possible decks that may result from removing the cards $1, 2, \ldots, a$ and then inserting them back into the deck (consisting of the cards $a+1, a+2, \ldots, n$). Each of these resulting decks can be viewed as a permutation in S_n, described more precisely in the following.

Such a resulting deck $u = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ (where $c_i \in [n]$) can be viewed as the permutation $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id} \\ u \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$, where $\mathrm{id} = 12 \cdots n$; note that $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id} \\ u \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} u'' \\ \mathrm{id} \end{bmatrix}$ is the biword $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id} \\ u \end{bmatrix}$ sorted lexicographically with priority on the bottom row, and is the inverse of the permutation $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id} \\ u \end{bmatrix}$. In other words, $u = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ can be viewed as the permutation that maps $c_i \mapsto i$ for all $i \in [n]$; intuitively, the notation $u = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ tells us that card c_i is moved to position i upon shuffling. This

convention of representing a permutation in S_n by a deck of cards will be called **deck notation** (for brevity) in this paper, and is just the inverse one-line notation. Of all the different notations used to denote a permutation in S_n, the deck notation seems most natural for our purposes, so we will be using the deck notation throughout this paper.

Fact 1. Given a permutation (deck of cards) σ, let m_σ be the smallest letter/card such that $m_\sigma \cdots (n-1)n$ is a subword of σ. Then σ is a term of B_c for any $c \geq m_\sigma - 1$.

Example 2. The permutation $32145\cdots n$ is a term of B_c for any $c \geq 2$.

Fact 3. In the deck notation, a permutation σ is completely determined once we specify the B_c of which σ is a term (i.e. specifying that σ shuffles the first c cards) and to what positions (integers in $[n]$) in the deck these c cards are sent.

Finally, given two permutations σ, τ in deck notation, we will always compute their product $\sigma\tau$ from left to right; in other words, if σ maps $i \mapsto \sigma(i)$ and τ maps $i \mapsto \tau(i)$, then $\sigma\tau$ maps $i \mapsto \tau(\sigma(i))$.

Example 4. To compute the product of $\sigma_1 = 2134\cdots n$ and $\sigma_2 = 2314\cdots n$ in deck notation, note that the former maps $1 \mapsto 2$, $2 \mapsto 1$, and fixes all other cards, while the latter maps $1 \mapsto 3$, $2 \mapsto 1$, $3 \mapsto 2$, and fixes all other cards. Thus, we have $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = (2134\cdots n)(2314\cdots n) = 1324\cdots n$ in deck notation.
Here is a more intuitive and visual way of seeing this: Whenever a permutation σ acts on a card m (by moving or fixing it), we say that σ **hits** card m. Both σ_1 and σ_2 can be viewed as terms of B_1; in other words, they move or fix the top card of the deck. Start with the default deck $123\cdots n$. σ_1 hits card 1 (the top card) and sends it to position 2, giving the deck $2134\cdots n$. Then σ_2 hits card 2 (now the top card) and sends it to position 3, giving the deck $1324\cdots n$, which is also the desired product. Since only the cards $1, 2$ have been hit in $\sigma_1\sigma_2$, we can treat $1324\cdots n$ as a term of B_2.

We now generalize this visual approach to the multiplication of permutations and apply it in Section 3. A term of B_1, as we have seen above, hits the first card of the deck. In general, a term τ of B_c hits (simultaneously) the first c cards of the deck; we shall call τ a **c-shuffle** in this case. By Fact 3, we can write τ as the c-tuple $(\tau(1), \tau(2), \ldots, \tau(c))$ where $\tau(i) \in [n]$ is the position in the deck to which card i is sent by τ; in this way, we can also view τ as an injection from $[c]$ to $[n]$. We will use this convention for permutations in what follows.

3 Multiplication Formula for Arbitrary Finite Products

We find an expansion formula for the k-fold product $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$ in terms of the elements B_c.

3.1 A Bijection between Shuffles and Set Partitions

Notice that $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$ is a sum of terms $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$ where $\sigma_i \in S_n$ is a term of B_{a_i}. Here we regard two terms $\sigma_1'\sigma_2'\cdots\sigma_k'$, $\sigma_1''\sigma_2''\cdots\sigma_k''$ of $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$ as distinct if $(\sigma_1', \sigma_2', \ldots, \sigma_k') \neq (\sigma_1'', \sigma_2'', \ldots, \sigma_k'')$, even if $\sigma_1'\sigma_2'\cdots\sigma_k'$, $\sigma_1''\sigma_2''\cdots\sigma_k''$ are equal as products in S_n. We denote $\sigma_i = (\sigma_i(1), \sigma_i(2), \ldots, \sigma_i(a_i))$ where $\sigma_i(m)$ is the position to which σ_i sends the mth card of the deck. Thus, the sequence $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ gives rise to the $(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)$-tuple $(\sigma_1(1), \sigma_1(2), \ldots, \sigma_1(a_i), \sigma_2(1), \sigma_2(2), \ldots, \sigma_2(a_2), \ldots, \sigma_k(1), \sigma_k(2), \ldots, \sigma_k(a_k))$, where σ_i is the ith segment of this tuple. Now, for ease of counting, we relabel this $(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)$-tuple as $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{j=1}^k a_j})$. In other words, the symbol $b_{m+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j}$ will denote the position $\sigma_i(m)$. Determining $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ is thus the same as

determining $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j}) = (\sigma_1(1), \sigma_1(2), \ldots, \sigma_1(a_i), \sigma_2(1), \sigma_2(2), \ldots, \sigma_2(a_2), \ldots, \sigma_k(1), \sigma_k(2), \ldots, \sigma_k(a_k))$.

Since σ_i acts on the mth card by sending it to position $\sigma_i(m) = b_{m+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j}$, we say that the mth card is **hit** by $b_{m+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j}$ through σ_i, and we will call the entries $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j}$ the **hitters** of $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$; here we view each b_l as a formal symbol rather than an integer, and this will be the case whenever we talk about hitting. We say that cards $1, 2, \ldots, j$ are **hit** by $\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k$ if each of them is hit by some b_l. Thus, each such term $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$ hits exactly the cards $1, 2, \ldots, j$ for a unique $j \in [\max(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)]$; it must hit at least the cards $1, 2, \ldots, \max(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, and it cannot hit more than n cards.

Remark 5. We consider every term of B_c to be hitting the first c cards. Only these cards are touched by our hands and then reinserted. The other $n - c$ cards move in the shuffling as well, but they are not touched by our hands; they move only as a result of being displaced by these reinserted cards. Thus, a real-life interpretation of "the term $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$ hits exactly the cards $1, 2, \ldots, j$" is that our hands touch exactly the cards $1, 2, \ldots, j$ as we carry out the sequence of shuffles $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k$ in that order.

Partition the terms of $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$ into the sets $D_j = \{\text{terms } (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}) = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k) | \sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k \text{ hits only the cards } 1, 2, \ldots, j\}$, for $j = \max(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \max(a_1, \ldots, a_k)+1, \ldots, \min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)$. Now notice that each $\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k \in D_j$ is equal (as a permutation) to a term of B_j. Thus, we can partition D_j into the sets $D_{j,t} = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k) \in D_j | \sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k = t \text{ as permutations}\}$ for t a term of B_j.

Let $P_j([l])$ denote the set of all partitions of $[l]$ into exactly j nonempty parts. Order the parts of each j-part partition in increasing order by the smallest element of each part. In other words, if $\alpha \in P_j([l])$, then we will always write $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j\}$ where $\min\alpha_1 < \min\alpha_2 < \ldots < \min\alpha_j$. Let $S_{l,j} := |P_j([l])|$, which is called the Stirling number of the second kind.

Example 6. $P_2([3])$ consists of the partitions $\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$, $\{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}\}$. We have $S_{3,2} = 3$.

To derive an expansion formula for $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$, we will set up a bijection ϕ_j between $D_{j,t}$ and a certain subset of $P_j([\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m])$ that we will denote by $Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$, for any term t of B_j; we will later see that ϕ_j does not depend on t, but its inverse does.

To motivate our definition of $Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k} \subset P_j([\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m])$, consider the way $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}) \in D_{j,t}$ acts on the default deck $12\cdots n$. After the cards $1, 2, \ldots, a_1$ are hit by $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{a_1}$, the $b_{a_1+1}, b_{a_1+2}, \ldots, b_{a_1+a_2}$ must hit a_2 cards of the cards $1, 2, \ldots, a_1, a_1+1, \ldots, a_1+a_2$, subject to the condition that for $b_{r_{a_1+1}}, b_{r_{a_1+2}}, \ldots, b_{r_l}$ (where $l \in [a_1+1, a_1+a_2]$) hitting the cards $a_1+1, a_1+2, \ldots, l$ respectively, we must have $r_{a_1+1} < r_{a_1+2} < \ldots < r_l$; in other words, the cards $a_1+1, a_1+2, \ldots, l$ must be hit in this order. Generally, after the cards $1, 2, \ldots, i$ are hit by $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{1+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m}, b_{2+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m}, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m}$, the next a_{c+1} cards hit must be from the cards $1, 2, \ldots, i, i+1, \ldots, \min(i+a_{c+1}, n)$, subject to the condition that for $b_{r_{i+1}}, b_{r_{i+2}}, \ldots, b_{r_l}$ (where $l \in [i+1, \min(i+a_{c+1}, n)]$) hitting the cards $i+1, i+2, \ldots, l$ respectively, we must have $r_{i+1} < r_{i+2} < \ldots < r_l$; in other words, the cards $i+1, i+2, \ldots, l$ must be hit in this order.

Based on the above observation, we now describe precisely the relevant subset $Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k} \subset P_j([\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m])$ in the following manner: To construct $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j\} \in Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$, put the elements $1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m$ of $[\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m]$ (in that order) surjectively into the (initially empty) bins ("parts") $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j$, subject to the following **Rules**.

1. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$ the elements $1 + \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} a_m, 2 + \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} a_m, \ldots, \sum_{m=1}^{i} a_m$ must be put injectively into a_i of these bins. At the outset, the elements $1, 2, \ldots, a_1$ must be put injectively into $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{a_1}$ respectively.

2. Let $a_1 \le i \le j$ be such that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_i$ are the only bins filled with at least one element just before placing the elements $1+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, 2+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \ldots, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m$ where $c \in [2,k]$. (In this case, we have $i \le \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m$.) We place the elements $1+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, 2+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \ldots, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m$ in this manner: Pick $l_c \in [0, \min(a_c, j-i)]$ and elements $r_1 < r_2 < \ldots < r_{l_c} \in [1+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m]$. Put element r_u into bin α_{i+u}. Then put the remaining elements of $[1+\sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m]$ injectively into any $a_c - l_c$ of the bins $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i$.

3. We must have $a_1 + \sum_{c=2}^{k} l_c = j$.

We call the resulting element $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j\}$ of $Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$ an $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$-segmented j-part partition of $[\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m]$.

We claim that

Theorem 7.

$$B_{a_1} B_{a_2} \cdots B_{a_k} = \sum_{j=\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k)}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)} |Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}| B_j$$

.

We first need the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Let $j \in [\max(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)]$ and let t be any term of B_j. Define the map $\phi_j : D_{j,t} \to Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$ given by $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k) \mapsto \alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j\}$ where $\alpha_i = \{l \in [\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m] | \text{card } i \text{ is hit by } b_l\}$. Then ϕ_j is a bijection.*

Proof. Suppose $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k) \in D_{j,t}$. Then the $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}$ hit (in that order) the cards $1, 2, \ldots, j$, which corresponds to putting the elements $1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m$ of $[\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m]$ (in that order) into the bins $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j$ subject to Rules 1-3 above. This shows that $\phi_j(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ is indeed an element of $Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$.

We now check simultaneously the injectivity and surjectivity of ϕ_j. Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j\} \in Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$. We show that α uniquely determines the $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ for which $\phi_j(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_k) = \alpha$. We may assume that the parts of α have been ordered lexicographically; in other words, $\min \alpha_l < \min \alpha_m$ for $1 \le l < m \le j$.

We will determine what the $\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}, \ldots, \sigma_1$ (or equivalently $b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}, \ldots, b_2, b_1$) are, in that order. For each b_l let α_{r_l} denote the part of α containing l; in other words, r_l is the card hit by b_l. If $p_{r_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}}$ is the position of card $r_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}$ in $t_k := t$, then we know that $b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}$ sends the a_kth card to position $p_{r_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m}}$. If $p_{r_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-1}}$ is the position of card $r_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-1}$ in t_k, then we know that $b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-1}$ sends the (a_k-1)st card to position $p_{r_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-1}}$. Continuing this process, we determine that $\sigma_k = (b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_m)+1}, \ldots, b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-1}, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m})$, where $b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-u}$ sends the (a_k-u)th card to position $p_{r_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-u}}$. Notice that, by Rule 1 above, $\alpha_{r_u} \ne \alpha_{r_v}$ whenever $(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_m)+1 \le u, v \le \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m$ are distinct, so $r_u \ne r_v$ whenever $(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_m)+1 \le u, v \le \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m$ are distinct. Thus, $\sigma_k = (b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_m)+1}, \ldots, b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m)-1}, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m})$ is indeed a term of B_{a_k}. Next, we can similarly determine the $b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_m}, b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_m)-1}, \ldots, b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{k-2} a_m)+1}$ by looking at t_{k-1}, the deck right before being hit by σ_k; in other words, t_{k-1} is obtained from t_k by reversing the action of σ_k. In general, fix any $0 \le i \le k$, and let t_i be the deck right before being hit by σ_{i+1}. If $p_{r_{(\sum_{m=1}^{i} a_m)-u}}$ is the position of card $r_{(\sum_{m=1}^{i} a_m)-u}$ in t_i, then we know that $b_{(\sum_{m=1}^{i} a_m)-u}$ sends the (a_i-u)th card to position $p_{r_{(\sum_{m=1}^{i} a_m)-u}}$. In this way, σ_i is uniquely determined, and σ_i is indeed a term of B_{a_i} by Rule 1 above.

Now we must check that, as we reverse the actions of $\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}, \ldots, \sigma_1$, we eventually get the identity deck $12\cdots n$; in other words, we must check that $t_0 = 12\cdots n$.

Let $m_1 < m_2 \in [j]$. We now show that card m_1 eventually ends up to the left of card m_2 as we reverse the actions of $\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}, \ldots, \sigma_1$ in that order. Consider the elements $\min \alpha_{m_1}, \min \alpha_{m_2} \in [\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m]$, because the actions of $b_{\min \alpha_{m_1}}$ and $b_{\min \alpha_{m_2}}$ are the last to be reversed on the cards m_1 and m_2, respectively. Suppose that both $\min \alpha_{m_1}, \min \alpha_{m_2}$ belong in the interval $[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{i} a_m]$ for some $i \in [k]$. Then by Rule 2 above, we must have $\min \alpha_{m_1} < \min \alpha_{m_2}$ (since α_{m_1} must receive its first element before α_{m_2} does), so card m_1 will indeed end up to the left of card m_2 after the action of σ_i is reversed. Suppose that $\min \alpha_{m_1} \in [1 + \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m]$ and $\min \alpha_{m_2} \in [1 + \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{d} a_m]$ for some $c \neq d \in [k]$. This means $b_{\min \alpha_{m_1}}$ belongs to σ_c and $b_{\min \alpha_{m_2}}$ belongs to σ_d. By Rule 2, we must have $c < d$, because α_{m_1} must receive its first element before α_{m_2} does. It follows that the action of σ_d gets reversed before the action of σ_c, so card m_1 must indeed end up to the left of card m_2. □

Remark 9. We see from this proof that ϕ_j^{-1} is given as follows. Given $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j\} \in Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$, for each b_l let α_{r_l} denote the part of α containing l; in other words, r_l is the card hit by b_l. For each $0 \leq i \leq k$ let t_i denote the deck right before being hit by σ_{i+1}, and let $p_{i,c}$ denote the position of card r_c in t_i. Then $\phi_j^{-1}(\alpha) = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m})$ where $b_{d+\sum_{m=1}^{i-1} a_m}$ sends the dth card in the deck to position $p_{i,d+\sum_{m=1}^{i-1} a_m}$, for $d \in [a_i]$.

By definition, the sets D_j partition the set of terms of $B_{a_1} B_{a_2} \cdots B_{a_k}$, and the sets $D_{j,t}$ partition D_j. Each set D_j forms $|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$ copies of B_j, as the sets $D_{j,t}$ are all equinumerous with cardinality $|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$ by Theorem 8. Therefore, Theorem 7 follows as a corollary.

3.2 Calculating the Coefficients $|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$

We now find an explicit formula for $|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$, which is the number of ways of putting the elements of $[\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m]$ (in the order $1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m$) into the (initially empty) containers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j$, subject to the Rules 1-3 we described earlier. Here we use the notation $P(m, l) = \binom{m}{l} l!$.

As a start, we can partition the set $Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$ by which elements of $[\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m]$ we choose to be the $\min \alpha_1$, $\min \alpha_2$, …, $\min \alpha_j$; by definition $\min \alpha_l$ is the first element put into α_l. Of course, we must have $\min \alpha_i = i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, a_1$. It then remains to determine the $\min \alpha_{a_1+1}, \min \alpha_{a_1+2}, \ldots, \min \alpha_j$ among the remaining $\sum_{m=2}^{k} a_m$ elements; we will call these $j - a_1$ elements **anchor elements** or **anchors**.

Once these $j - a_1$ elements (equivalently, j elements) have been chosen, then we are guaranteed that the eventual partition $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_j\}$ will indeed have j parts. By Rules 2 and 3, for each interval $[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m]$ (where $c \in [2, k]$) we need to choose certain elements $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_{l_c} \in [1 + \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m]$ (where $l_c \leq a_c$ can be zero) to be the anchors, so that in the end we have $\sum_{c=2}^{k} l_c = j - a_1$. Fix such a tuple $(l_2, l_3, \ldots, l_k)$.

Consider the interval $[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m]$ where $c \in [2, k]$. There are $\binom{a_c}{l_c}$ ways to choose the anchor elements $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{l_c}$ in this interval. Once the elements $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{l_c}$ have been chosen as the anchors, the remaining $a_c - l_c$ elements of this interval have to be put injectively into the sets $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{a_1+\sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i}$ (because only these sets have received at least one element at this time), and there are $P(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i, a_c - l_c)$ ways to do this. Thus, there are a total of $N_c := \binom{a_c}{l_c} P(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i, a_c - l_c)$ ways to assign the elements of this interval.

Notice that all the intervals $[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} a_m, \sum_{m=1}^{c} a_m]$ where $c \in [2, k]$ are disjoint. Hence there are a total of $\prod_{c=2}^{k} N_c = \prod_{c=2}^{k} \binom{a_c}{l_c} P(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i, a_c - l_c)$ ways to assign all the elements,

for each tuple $(l_2, l_3, \ldots, l_k)$.

We conclude that (for $k \geq 2$) the formula is

$$|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}| = \sum_{\substack{\sum_{c=2}^k l_c = j - a_1 \\ l_c \in [0, a_c]}} \left(\prod_{c=2}^{k} \binom{a_c}{l_c} P\left(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i, a_c - l_c \right) \right), \tag{3}$$

where the sum is over all tuples $(l_2, l_3, \ldots, l_k)$ (with $l_c \in [0, a_c]$) such that $\sum_{c=2}^k l_c = j - a_1$.

Remark 10. For any tuple $(l_2, l_3, \ldots, l_k)$ (with $l_c \in [0, a_c]$) such that $\sum_{c=2}^k l_c = j - a_1$, the nonnegative term

$$\prod_{c=2}^{k} \binom{a_c}{l_c} P\left(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i, a_c - l_c \right)$$

counts the number of ways of ways to obtain the identity deck through this sequence of k top to random shuffles such that only the cards $1, 2, \ldots, j$ (cumulatively) are hit and exactly l_c new cards are hit during the cth shuffle (where a total of a_c cards, old and new, are hit).

3.3 Applications

In particular, for $a_1 = \ldots = a_k = 1$, Theorem 7 gives us

$$B_1^k = \sum_{j=1}^{\min(k,n)} |Q_j^{1,\ldots,1}| B_j.$$

By the above and the following proposition, we see that Garsia's formula (2) follows as a special case, except now the restriction $1 \leq k \leq n$ is removed.

Proposition 11. $|Q_j^{1,\ldots,1}| = S_{k,j}$.

Proof. Looking at Rules 1-3 for the construction of a segmented partition, we see that each l_c is 0 or 1 for $c \in [2, k]$. If $l_c = 1$, then the element c is an anchor and is placed into an empty bin; the element 1 is always placed into the bin α_1. If $l_c = 0$, then the element c is placed into a nonempty bin. Every j-part partition $\alpha \in P_j([k])$ (whose parts are ordered by their smallest elements) can be constructed in this way, so the claim follows. □

Corollary 12. *By taking $a_1 = \ldots = a_k = 1$ in (3), we obtain another formula for the Stirling number $S_{k,j}$.*

For $k = 2$, Theorem 7 gives us

$$B_{a_1} B_{a_2} = \sum_{j=\max(a_1,a_2)}^{\min(a_1+a_2,n)} |Q_j^{a_1,a_2}| B_j.$$

We have $|Q_j^{a_1,a_2}| = \sum_{l_2=j-a_1} (\prod_{c=2}^{2} \binom{a_c}{l_c} P(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{c-1} l_i, a_c - l_c)) = \binom{a_2}{l_2} P(a_1, a_2 - l_2) = \binom{a_2}{j-a_1} P(a_1, a_2 + a_1 - j) = \frac{a_2!}{(j-a_1)!(a_2+a_1-j)!} \frac{a_1!}{(j-a_2)!}$. This gives Garsia's formula (1.3)

$$B_{a_1} B_{a_2} = \sum_{j=\max(a_1,a_2)}^{\min(a_1+a_2,n)} \frac{a_2!}{(j-a_1)!(a_2+a_1-j)!} \frac{a_1!}{(j-a_2)!} B_j$$

in [6], which also appears in Theorem 4.2 of [4].

Now we show how Theorem 7 can be used in some calculations.

Example 13. How many ways can we obtain the deck $i(i-1)\cdots 1(i+1)\cdots n$ via top-to-random shuffling of a_1 cards, a_2 cards, ..., a_k cards in that order? This question can be answered by looking at the product $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$, and counting all copies of the term $i(i-1)\cdots 1(i+1)\cdots n$ appearing on the right hand side of Theorem 7. By Fact 1, $i(i-1)\cdots 1(i+1)\cdots n$ is a term of B_c for any $c \geq i-1$, and each such B_c contains exactly one copy of $i(i-1)\cdots 1(i+1)\cdots n$. It follows that there are

$$\sum_{j=i-1}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_n, n)} |Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}| = \sum_{j=\max(i-1,\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k))}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_n, n)} |Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$$

ways to do this. Note that $|Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$ is the number of ways the deck $i(i-1)\cdots 1(i+1)\cdots n$ can be obtained via this sequence of k top to random shuffles through which exactly the cards $1, 2, \ldots, j$ (cumulatively) are touched/picked (or "hit", in our terminology) and then reinserted. Since $B_{a_1}B_{a_2}\cdots B_{a_k}$ has $P(n,a_1)P(n,a_2)\cdots P(n,a_k)$ terms/decks in total, the probability of obtaining the deck $i(i-1)\cdots 1(i+1)\cdots n$ via top-to-random shuffling of a_1 cards, a_2 cards, ..., a_k cards in that order is

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^{k} P(n,a_l)} \sum_{j=\max(i-1,\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k))}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_n, n)} |Q_j^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|.$$

Finally, notice that Theorem 7 and Fact 1 imply that the probabilities of obtaining decks τ_1, τ_2 via top-to-random shuffling of a_1 cards, a_2 cards, ..., a_k cards in that order are equal whenever $m_{\tau_1} = m_{\tau_2}$.

4 Generalizations to the Algebra of G-Permutations

4.1 Description of G-permutations

For an arbitrary group G we can define the group S_n^G of **G-permutations** by the wreath product $S_n^G := G \wr S_n$. Now we give a more combinatorial view of S_n^G.

Let $[\hat{n}]$ denote the alphabet $\{\hat{1}, \hat{2}, \ldots, \hat{n}\}$ (we will use this "hat" notation to distinguish these letters from ordinary integers), and let $G^{[\hat{n}]} := \{(g_1,\hat{1}),(g_2,\hat{2}),\ldots,(g_n,\hat{n}) | (g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_n) \in G^n\}$. As in the previous sections, we will interpret $G^{[\hat{n}]}$ and S_n^G in terms of decks of n cards, except now each card in the deck has $|G|$ faces, each of which is indexed by an element of G.

We denote the identity element by e. Also, we define the absolute value of $(g_i,\hat{i})$ to be $\text{abs}(g_i,\hat{i}) = (e,\hat{i})$. For $g \in G$ we interpret $(g,\hat{i})$ to be card $\hat{i}$ with face g up; we will use $\hat{i}$ as a shorthand for $(e,\hat{i})$ and $g\hat{i}$ as a shorthand for $(g,\hat{i})$ when no confusion arises. The default deck that we start with is $(e,\hat{1})(e,\hat{2})\cdots(e,\hat{n})$, or simply $\hat{1}\hat{2}\cdots\hat{n}$ for short; here we treat each $(e,\hat{j})$ as a single letter. We treat each $\tau \in S_n^G$ as a permutation of $G^{[\hat{n}]}$, and as before we will employ the *deck notation* (inverse one-line notation) for τ. In other words, we write $\tau = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n]$ or simply as a word $\tau = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$ (where each $b_i \in G^{[\hat{n}]}$ and $\{\text{abs}(b_i) | i \in [n]\} = [\hat{n}]$), where $\tau(b_i) = (e,\hat{i})$; we interpret this as saying τ sends b_i to position $(e,\hat{i})$ or simply position i in the deck. Then τ can be seen as a deck obtained by shuffling around the cards of the deck $\hat{1}\hat{2}\cdots\hat{n}$ and simultaneously turning each card so that some face is up; each b_i is equal to some $g_j\hat{j}$ where $g_j \in G$, indicating that card $\hat{j}$ is sent to position i with face g_j up.

Example 14. $\hat{2}(g_1\hat{1})(g_3\hat{3})\hat{4}\cdots\hat{n}$ (where $g_1, g_3 \in G$) is a G-permutation that acts on the default deck by sending card $\hat{1}$ to position 2 with face g_1 up, card $\hat{2}$ to position 1, and card $\hat{3}$ to position 3 with face g_3 up, while fixing all the other $n-3$ cards.

To describe clearly the action and multiplication of G-permutations, let $\sigma, \tau \in S_n^G$ with $\sigma(e, \hat{i}) = (g_{(\sigma,i)}, \widehat{p_{(\sigma,i)}})$ and $\tau(e, \hat{j}) = (g_{(\tau,j)}, \widehat{p_{(\tau,j)}})$ where $p_{(\sigma,i)}, p_{(\tau,j)} \in [n]$ and $g_{(\sigma,i)}, g_{(\tau,i)} \in G$. For $g \in G$, we have

$$\sigma(g, \hat{i}) = g\sigma(e, \hat{i})$$

or simply

$$\sigma(g\hat{i}) = g\sigma(\hat{i}).$$

In our deck notation the product of σ, τ will be carried out from left to right. In other words:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\tau(e, \hat{m}) &= \tau(\sigma(e, \hat{m})) \\ &= \tau(g_{(\sigma,m)}, \widehat{p_{(\sigma,m)}}) \\ &= g_{(\sigma,m)}\tau(e, \widehat{p_{(\sigma,m)}}) \\ &= (g_{(\sigma,m)}g_{(\tau,p_{(\sigma,m)})}, \widehat{p_{(\tau,p_{(\sigma,m)})}})\end{aligned}$$

or simply

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\tau(\hat{m}) &= \tau(\sigma(\hat{m})) \\ &= \tau(g_{(\sigma,m)}\widehat{p_{(\sigma,m)}}) \\ &= g_{(\sigma,m)}\tau(\widehat{p_{(\sigma,m)}}) \\ &= g_{(\sigma,m)}g_{(\tau,p_{(\sigma,m)})}\widehat{p_{(\tau,p_{(\sigma,m)})}} \qquad (4)\end{aligned}$$

We now interpret the above product in terms of a deck of cards; we will use this interpretation for the rest of this section. Given that σ sends card $\hat{i}$ to position $p_{(\sigma,i)}$ with face $g_{(\sigma,i)}$ up and τ sends card $\hat{j}$ to position $p_{(\tau,j)}$ with face $g_{(\tau,j)}$ up, then $\sigma\tau$ sends card $\hat{m}$ to position $p_{(\tau,p_{(\sigma,m)})}$ with face $g_{(\sigma,m)}g_{(\tau,p_{(\sigma,m)})}$ up.

Example 15. In the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, we get the group $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})\wr S_n$ of colored permutations, which are called signed permutations in the case $m = 2$. Let ζ be the primitive mth root of unity. Then a $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$-permutation is a permutation $\sigma = b_1b_2\cdots b_n$ of $\{\zeta^{i_1}\hat{1}, \zeta^{i_2}\hat{2}, \ldots, \zeta^{i_n}\hat{n} | (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sigma(\zeta^j b_l) = \zeta^j\sigma(b_l) = \zeta^j\hat{l}$. σ can be thought of as a deck of n cards where each card has m faces.

For $a \in [n]$ and $B_a = \hat{1} \sqcup \hat{2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \hat{a} \sqcup W_{a,n} \in \mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ where $W_{a,n}$ is the word $W_{a,n} = \widehat{a+1}\widehat{a+2}\cdots\widehat{n}$, consider the $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$ element

$$\hat{B}_a = \sum_{(g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_a)\in G^a} g_1\hat{1} \sqcup g_2\hat{2} \sqcup g_a\hat{a} \sqcup W_{a,n};$$

as before we abbreviate $e\hat{l}$ as $\hat{l}$, and we carry out the shuffle product as usual, treating each $g_i\hat{i}$ as a single letter. This element describes the action of taking the first a cards of a deck of n cards (by default, the deck is $\hat{1}\hat{2}\cdots\hat{n}$) and then inserting them back into the deck such that a certain face g_l of card $\hat{l}$ is facing up, for each $l \in [a]$. For example, $\hat{1}(g_2\hat{2})\hat{3}\cdots\hat{a}W_{a,n}$ is a term of $\hat{B}_a$ which (as a G-permutation) corresponds to the deck obtained by inserting these a cards back into their original positions, with the second card having its g_2 face up.

Example 16. In the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, the element $\hat{B}_a$ has an intuitive real-life interpretation. We have a deck of n roulette wheels, each of which has m sectors. We take the first a roulette wheels and insert them back into the deck, while spinning these a wheels at the same time. Each of these a wheels will end up in a certain position in the deck, with a certain sector pointing up.

4.2 Top to Random Shuffling Expansion Formula in $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$

For the rest of this section, assume that G is finite. In the same vein as in the previous section, we will find an expansion formula for the k-fold product $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ in terms of the elements $\hat{B}_c$. $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ is a sum of terms $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$, where $\sigma_i \in S_n^G$ is a term of $\hat{B}_{a_i}$. As in the previous sections, we regard two terms $\sigma_1'\sigma_2'\cdots\sigma_k'$, $\sigma_1''\sigma_2''\cdots\sigma_k''$ of $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ as distinct if $(\sigma_1', \sigma_2', \ldots, \sigma_k') \neq (\sigma_1'', \sigma_2'', \ldots, \sigma_k'')$, even if $\sigma_1'\sigma_2'\cdots\sigma_k'$, $\sigma_1''\sigma_2''\cdots\sigma_k''$ are equal as products in S_n^G.

In analogy to the previous section, each term of $\hat{B}_d$ hits/shuffles the first d cards of the deck. A card is hit by a term (k-tuple of G-permutations) $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$ of $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ if and only if it is hit by some σ_i for $i \in [k]$. Thus, each term $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$ of $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ hits cards $\hat{1}, \hat{2}, \ldots, \hat{c}$ for a unique $c \in [\max(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)]$.

Each term $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k$ of $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ is equal as a G-permutation to a term t^* of $\hat{B}_c$ for some $c \in [\max(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)]$. Hence we can partition the set of terms of $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ into the sets $D_{c,t^*} := \{\text{terms } \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \text{ of } \hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k} \text{ that hit the cards } \hat{1}, \hat{2}, \ldots, \hat{c} | \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k = t^* \text{ as } G\text{-permutations}\}$ for $c \in [\max(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)]$ and t^* a term of $\hat{B}_c$.

It is useful to define the **absolute value** of a G-permutation, to relate G-permutations with permutations in S_n; this will also allow us to apply some results of the previous section, which dealt with regular permutations. Given a G-permutation σ, we define $\text{abs}(\sigma)$ to be the permutation of $[\hat{n}]$ obtained by erasing the face of every card (in other words, replacing the face of each card by the identity element of G). For example, the absolute value of the G-permutation $\hat{2}(g_1\hat{1})(g_3\hat{3})\hat{4}\cdots\hat{n}$ (where $g_1, g_3 \in G$) is the regular permutation $\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{3}\hat{4}\cdots\hat{n}$. For G-permutations τ_1, τ_2 we have $\text{abs}(\tau_1\tau_2) = \text{abs}(\tau_1)\text{abs}(\tau_2)$.

Now fix $c \in [\max(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \min(\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_m, n)]$ and t^* a term of $\hat{B}_c$. Notice that for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \in D_{c,t^*}$ we have $\text{abs}(\sigma_1)\text{abs}(\sigma_2)\cdots\text{abs}(\sigma_k) \in D_{c,t}$ (defined in Subsection 3.1) where $t = \text{abs}(t^*) \in S_n$. Thus, we can partition D_{c,t^*} into the sets $D_{c,t^*}^s := \{\text{terms } \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \in D_{c,t^*} | \text{abs}(\sigma_1)\text{abs}(\sigma_2)\cdots\text{abs}(\sigma_k) = s \text{ as } k\text{-tuples}\}$ for $s \in D_{c,t}$. Each element of D_{c,t^*} can be constructed by first choosing $s = \sigma_1'\sigma_2'\cdots\sigma_k' \in D_{c,t}$, and then choosing the faces for the a_i shuffled cards of each σ_i' so that we get all the faces in t^* through the resulting product.

Now we prove a lemma that will be useful in factoring the faces in t^*. Let l be a fixed positive integer. For $g, g' \in G$, let l^{*g} be the set of l-tuples of elements of G such that the product of the l entries equals g, and let $l^{*g'}$ be the set of l-tuples of elements of G such that the product of the l entries equals g'.

Lemma 17. *We have $|l^{*g}| = |l^{*g'}|$.*

Proof. We give a simple bijection $\phi : l^{*g} \to l^{*g'}$. Let $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l) \in l^{*g}$. We let ϕ map $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l) \mapsto ((g'g^{-1})c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l)$. Since $(g'g^{-1})c_1c_2\cdots c_l = (g'g^{-1})g = g'$, we have $((g'g^{-1})c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l) \in l^{*g'}$. The inverse map ϕ^{-1} is given by $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l) \mapsto ((gg'^{-1})d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l)$ for $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l) \in l^{*g'}$. □

Thus, the number of ways of factoring an element of G into l factors is a constant, which we can take to be l^{*e} where e is the identity of G. We can use this lemma to calculate l^{*e}.

Corollary 18. *We have $l^{*e} = |G|^{l-1}$.*

Proof. There are a total of $|G|^l$ l-tuples of elements of G, each of which factors some element of G. By the lemma, these factorization are equidistributed among the $|G|$ elements of G. Therefore, we have $l^{*e} = |G|^l/|G| = |G|^{l-1}$. □

To construct an element of D_{c,t^*}, fix $s = \sigma'_1 \cdots \sigma'_k \in D_{c,t}$ and fix a card $\hat{m}$ (where $m \in [c]$) of t^* with face $f_m \in G$. By Theorem 8, $D_{c,t}$ is in natural bijection with $Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$, and we can identify s with $\phi_c(s) = \alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_c\} \in Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$. Starting from the mth position in the identity deck, $\hat{m}$ must be hit $|\alpha_m|$ times before moving to its final position in t^* with face f_m up. By (4), each time a card is hit, it is multiplied (on the right) by a certain face $g \in G$. It follows that $f_m = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{|\alpha_m|}$ for some $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{|\alpha_m|}) \in G^{|\alpha_m|}$, so the face of card $\hat{m}$ in t^* can be factored in $|\alpha_m|^{*e}$ ways by Lemma 17. Since the faces of the cards $\hat{1}, \ldots, \hat{c}$ in t^* can be factored independently of one another, it follows by Corollary 18 that $|D^s_{c,t^*}| = \prod_{i=1}^c |\alpha_i|^{*e} = \prod_{i=1}^c |G|^{|\alpha_i|-1} = |G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)-c}$ irrespective of s.

Consequently, we have

$$|D_{c,t^*}| = \sum_{s \in D_{c,t}} |D^s_{c,t^*}| = \sum_{s \in D_{c,t}} |G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)-c} = |Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}||G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)-c}$$

irrespective of t^*. Since the sets $|D_{c,t^*}|$ (for t^* a term of $\hat{B}_c$) are all equinumerous with cardinality $|Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}||G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)-c}$, we therefore obtain the expansion formula

$$\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k} = \sum_{c=\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k)}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m, n)} |Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}||G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)-c}\hat{B}_c, \tag{5}$$

where $|Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}|$ is given in (3).

Now we briefly look at how (5) can be used in computations.

Example 19. Suppose we have a deck of n cards $\hat{1}\cdots\hat{n}$ in which each card has $|G|$ faces each of which is labeled by an element of G. How many ways can the deck $\hat{i}(g_{i-1}\widehat{i-1})\cdots(g_1\hat{1})\widehat{i+1}\cdots\hat{n}$ (where $g_l \in G$ for $l \in [i-1]$) be obtained via top-to-random shuffling (in which the shuffled cards are flipped randomly and independently) of a_1 cards, a_2 cards, ..., a_k cards in that order? We answer this question by looking at the product $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ and counting all the copies of $\hat{i}(g_{i-1}\widehat{i-1})\cdots(g_1\hat{1})\widehat{i+1}\cdots\hat{n}$ appearing on the right hand side of (5). By Fact 1, $\hat{i}(g_{i-1}\widehat{i-1})\cdots(g_1\hat{1})\widehat{i+1}\cdots\hat{n}$ is a term of $\hat{B}_c$ for any $c \geq i-1$, and each such $\hat{B}_c$ contains exactly one copy of $\hat{i}(g_{i-1}\widehat{i-1})\cdots(g_1\hat{1})\widehat{i+1}\cdots\hat{n}$. It follows that there are

$$\sum_{c=\max(\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k),i-1)}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m, n)} |Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}||G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)-c}$$

ways to obtain this deck.

To compute the probability of obtaining $\hat{i}(g_{i-1}\widehat{i-1})\cdots(g_1\hat{1})\widehat{i+1}\cdots\hat{n}$ via this sequence of k top to random shuffles, notice that $\hat{B}_{a_1}\hat{B}_{a_2}\cdots\hat{B}_{a_k}$ has in total $(|G|^{a_1}P(n,a_1))(|G|^{a_2}P(n,a_2))\cdots(|G|^{a_k}P(n,a_k)) = |G|^{\sum_{m=1}^k a_m}\prod_{m=1}^k P(n,a_m)$ terms/decks. Thus, this probability is

$$\frac{\sum_{c=\max(\max(a_1,\ldots,a_k),i-1)}^{\min(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m, n)} |Q_c^{a_1,\ldots,a_k}||G|^{(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i)-c}}{|G|^{\sum_{m=1}^k a_m}\prod_{m=1}^k P(n,a_m)}.$$

4.3 Other Generalizations

Lemma 17 can be used to generalize other expansion formulae of $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ to $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$. We show below one way this can be done.

For each $\sigma = \hat{c_1}\hat{c_2}\cdots\hat{c_n} \in S_n$ define $\bar{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$ by $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_{(g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_n)\in G^n} (g_1\hat{c_1})(g_2\hat{c_2})\cdots(g_n\hat{c_n})$; in other words, $\bar{\sigma}$ is the sum of all G-permutations whose absolute value is σ. For example, if $\sigma = \hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{4}\hat{3}\hat{5}\cdots\hat{n}$, then $\bar{\sigma}$ is the sum $\sum_{(g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_n)\in G^n} (g_1\hat{2})(g_2\hat{1})(g_3\hat{4})(g_4\hat{3})(g_5\hat{5})\cdots(g_n\hat{n})$.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{B_r\}_{r\in I}$ be a collection of linearly independent elements of $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$, where I is an indexing set. Suppose $B_{p_1}, B_{p_2}, \ldots, B_{p_k} \in \mathcal{C}$ are positive linear combinations of elements of S_n such that

$$B_{p_1}B_{p_2}\cdots B_{p_k} = \sum_{r\in I} C_r^{p_1,\ldots,p_k} B_r, \tag{6}$$

where the coefficients $C_r^{p_1,\ldots,p_k}$ are all nonnegative.

Since both sides of (6) consist of positive terms (they are both positive sums of elements of S_n), both sides must have exactly the same terms with the same multiplicity; no cancellation occurs on either side. Then the terms of the product $B_{p_1}B_{p_2}\cdots B_{p_k}$ can be partitioned into the sets D'_r ($r \in I$) which correspond to the terms of $C_r^{p_1,\ldots,p_k}B_r$. Each term (k-tuple of permutations) $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \in D'_r$ must equal t as permutations, for some term (permutation) t of B_r. (6) tells us that we can partition each D'_r into sets $D'_{r,t} = \{\text{terms } \sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k \text{ of } D'_r | \sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k = t \text{ as permutations}\}$ (for t a term of B_r) with $|D'_{r,t}| = C_r^{p_1,\ldots,p_k}$.

Define $\bar{B}_r := \sum \bar{\sigma}$ where the sum is over all terms σ of B_r. Notice here that every term (G-permutation) of $\bar{B}_r$ is a deck in which *each* of the n cards has been assigned a face, in contrast with a term of $\hat{B}_c$ (from the previous subsection) which is a deck in which only the cards $\hat{1},\ldots,\hat{c}$ have been assigned a face. We will generalize (6) to $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$ by deriving an expansion formula for $\bar{B}_{p_1}\bar{B}_{p_2}\cdots\bar{B}_{p_k}$.

By (6), for each term (k-tuple of G-permutations) $\sigma'_1\sigma'_2\cdots\sigma'_k$ of $\bar{B}_{p_1}\bar{B}_{p_2}\cdots\bar{B}_{p_k}$ we have $\text{abs}(\sigma'_1)\text{abs}(\sigma'_2)\cdots\text{abs}(\sigma'_k) \in D'_{r,t}$ for some $r \in I$ and t a term of B_r, so $\sigma'_1\sigma'_2\cdots\sigma'_k = t^* \in S_n^G$ such that t^* is a term of $\bar{B}_r$ and $\text{abs}(t^*) = t$. We can thus partition the terms of $\bar{B}_{p_1}\bar{B}_{p_2}\cdots\bar{B}_{p_k}$ into the sets $D'_{r,t^*} := \{\text{terms } \sigma'_1\sigma'_2\cdots\sigma'_k \text{ of } \bar{B}_{p_1}\bar{B}_{p_2}\cdots\bar{B}_{p_k} | \sigma'_1\sigma'_2\cdots\sigma'_k = t^* \text{ as } G\text{-permutations}\}$ for r ranging through I and t^* a term of $\bar{B}_r$. We can further partition D'_{r,t^*} into the sets $D'_{r,t^*,s} := \{\text{terms } \sigma'_1\sigma'_2\cdots\sigma'_k \in D'_{r,t^*} | \text{abs}(\sigma'_1)\text{abs}(\sigma'_2)\cdots\text{abs}(\sigma'_k) = s \text{ as } k\text{-tuples}\}$ for $s \in D'_{r,\text{abs}(t^*)}$. Each element of D'_{r,t^*} can be constructed by first choosing $s = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \in D'_{r,\text{abs}(t^*)}$, and then choosing the faces for the n cards of each σ_i so that we get all the faces in t^* through the resulting product.

To construct an element of D'_{r,t^*}, fix $s = \sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k \in D'_{r,\text{abs}(t^*)}$ and fix a card $\hat{m}$ of t^* with face $f_m \in G$. Starting from the mth position in the identity deck, $\hat{m}$ must be acted upon k times before moving to its final position in t^* with face f_m up. By (4), each time a card is acted upon, it is multiplied (on the right) by a certain face $g \in G$. By Corollary 18, f_m can be factored in $k^{*e} = |G|^{k-1}$ ways. Since this is true for each card of t^*, there are $|D'_{r,t^*,s}| = (|G|^{k-1})^n$ ways of choosing the faces for all n cards, irrespective of s. Since there are $|D'_{r,\text{abs}(t^*)}| = C_r^{p_1,\ldots,p_k}$ ways of choosing s, we have $|D'_{r,t^*}| = C_r^{p_1,\ldots,p_k}(|G|^{k-1})^n$ irrespective of t^*.

Therefore, (6) generalizes to the expansion formula

$$\bar{B}_{p_1}\bar{B}_{p_2}\cdots\bar{B}_{p_k} = \sum_{r\in I} C_r^{p_1,\ldots,p_k}(|G|^{k-1})^n \bar{B}_r \tag{7}$$

in $\mathbb{Q}[S_n^G]$.

5 Further Discussions

More generally, Garsia [6] defined the elements B_p of $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$, where $p = (p_1,\ldots,p_k)$ is a composition of n, as follows. Define the segmentation $E(p) = (E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k)$ of the deck $12\ldots n$ into

successive factors $E_i = (p_1 + p_2 + \ldots + p_{i-1} + 1)(p_1 + p_2 + \ldots + p_{i-1} + 2) \ldots (p_1 + p_2 + \ldots p_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then B_p is defined as the $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ element $B_p = E_1 \sqcup E_2 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup E_k$. The B_a we studied earlier corresponds to the special case that $p = (1^a, n-a)$. The B_p form a basis of Solomon's Descent Algebra, which is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$ studied in depth by Garsia and Reutenauer [7]. It would be interesting to find an expansion formula for B_p^k.

Furthermore, there may be other similar algebraic objects for whom expansion formulae may be found using similar bijections. For example, promotion operators were defined by Stanley [11] and Schützenberger [10], and then generalized by Ayyer, Klee, and Schilling [1], who used these extended promotion operators in their recent work on Markov chains. Let P be an arbitrary poset of size n, with partial order $\preceq$. We assume that the vertices of P are naturally labeled by elements in $[n]$. Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}(P)$ be the set of its linear extensions, $\mathcal{L}(P) = \{\pi \in S_n | i \prec j \text{ in } P \Rightarrow \pi_i^{-1} < \pi_j^{-1} \text{ as integers}\}$, which is naturally interpreted as a subset of S_n.

The extended promotion operator can be expressed in terms of more elementary operators τ_i $(1 \leq i < n)$. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \ldots \pi_n \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ be in one-line notation. Place the label π_i^{-1} in P at the location i. Then τ_i acts on π on the left by

1. interchanging π_i and π_{i+1} if they are not comparable in P
2. fixing π otherwise.

Then as an operator on $\mathcal{L}(P)$ we have $\partial_j = \tau_j \tau_{j+1} \cdots \tau_{n-1}$. Interpreted in terms of card shuffling, in the case that P is an antichain, ∂_j moves the jth card to the end of the deck. Consequently, in this case we have $B_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^{-1}$. It would be interesting to find expansion formulae for $(\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^{-1})^k$ in the case that P is not necessarily the antichain, and calculate the transition matrix and eigenvalues of $(\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^{-1})^k$.

Finally, we point out that the converse to Fact 3 is also true; we give a way by which any permutation σ uniquely determines the B_c of which σ is a term. By looking at the positions to which the cards $1, 2, \ldots, m_\sigma - 1$ are sent, we can treat σ as an injection.

Proposition 20. *There is a one-to-one correspondence χ between S_n and the set $S^{inj} :=$ {injective maps f from $[a]$ to $[n]$ such that $f(i) > a$ for some $i < f(a)$, for $a = 0, 1, ..., n-1$}.*

Proof. Using Fact 1, we simply set σ to be a term of $B_{m_\sigma - 1}$. By looking at the positions in the deck to which the cards $1, 2, \ldots, m_\sigma - 1$ are sent, we determine the injection $\chi(\sigma) : [m_\sigma - 1] \to [n]$. □

Example 21. We consider $\sigma = 43215 \cdots n$ to be a term of B_3. σ corresponds to the injection $\chi(\sigma) : [3] \to [n]$ given by $\chi(\sigma)(1) = 4, \chi(\sigma)(2) = 3, \chi(\sigma)(3) = 2$.

This gives us the option of studying permutations by viewing them as injections, and gives us a group structure (inherited from S_n) on the set S^{inj} of injections.

References

[1] Arvind Ayyer, Steve Klee and Anne Schilling, *Combinatorial Markov Chains on Linear Extensions*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **39** (4): 853-881, 2014.

[2] T. Patrick Bidigare, Phil Hanlon, and Daniel N. Rockmore, *A combinatorial description of the spectrum for the Tsetlin library and its generalization to hyperplane arrangements*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1): 135-174, 1999.

[3] Kenneth S. Brown, *Semigroups, rings, and Markov chains*, J. Theoret. Probab. **13** (3): 871-938, 2000.

[4] P. Diaconis, J. A. Fill and J. Pitman, *Analysis of Top to Random Shuffles*, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing **1**: 135-155, 1992.

[5] J. Fill, *An exact formula for the move-to-front rule for self-organizing lists*, J. Theoret. Probab. **9**: 113-160, 1996.

[6] A. M. Garsia, *On the Powers of Top to Random Shuffling*, 2002. Typed notes, UCSD. `https://www.dropbox.com/s/i3jlxa5zvspora3/DiacSHUFFLES.pdf`

[7] A. M. Garsia and C. Reutenauer, *A Decomposition of Solomon's Descent Algebra*, Advances in Mathematics **77**: 189-262, 1989.

[8] W.J. Hendricks, *The Stationary Distribution of an Interesting Markov Chain*, J. Appl. Probability **9**: 231-233, 1972.

[9] Jay-Calvin Uyemura Reyes, *Random walk, semi-direct products, and card shuffling*, Stanford University, ProQuest LLC, UMI Dissertations Publishing, 2002.

[10] M. P. Schützenberger, *Quelques remarques sur une construction de Schensted*, Math. Scand. **12**: 117-128, 1963.

[11] Richard P. Stanley, *Promotion and evacuation*, Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2, Special volume in honor of Anders Björner): Research Paper 9, 24pp., 2009.